### Getting More Performance with Polymorphism from Emerging Memory Technologies

Iyswarya Narayanan, Aishwarya Ganesan, Anirudh Badam, Sriram Govindan,

Bikash Sharma, Anand Sivasubramaniam



# Resource needs of cloud storage applications span multiple aspects







#### Cloud applications are diverse!

In terms of their capacity needs for both volatile reads and persistent writes



#### Cloud applications are diverse!

#### In terms of volume of read and write accesses



**Across different applications** 

**Temporally within same applications** 

How to effectively provision memory and storage resources for diverse cloud storage applications?

#### DRAM and SSD are memory and storage resources

- Volatile
- Low latency
- Low capacity



Persistent High latency High capacity





### They are rigid in their performance characteristics



Can emerging memories help meet diverse resource needs for cloud storage apps across several dimensions?



#### Non-volatile Lower latencies w.r.t SSD Larger and flexible: capacity and latency Persistent

Volatile Low capacity

Low latency



Battery Backed DRAM



**3D XPoint** 



Compressed

Latency

**High capacity** 

**High latency** 

Can we exploit these emerging memory technologies to overcome drawbacks of existing resources?

What are the design choices to integrate emerging memory technologies in cloud servers?

#### Persistent memory programming



Benefit volatile and persistent accesses

Intrusive code changes to applications!



No changes to applications

High NVM provisioning cost for entire storage needs! Intrusive code changes to OS and FS!



Low cost and transparent

Benefits reads or writes and not both!

### Emerging memory technologies are polymorphic

#### They can function as both memory and storage!



### Functional polymorphism can benefit applications with competing volatile and persistent flows

dm-cache to use a part of NVM as write cache Rest – additional memory accessible via load/stores



Partitioning NVM between memory and storage reduces latency

What if the working set exceeds physical memory/write-cache capacity?

Impact of insufficient physical capacity + fixed resource characteristics on application performance



Tail latency is determined by the slowest tier

# Representational polymorphism knob to tune latency and capacity



# Representational polymorphism can benefit applications



Our goal: Effectively serve diverse cloud applications using polymorphic emerging memory based cache

#### PolyEMT: Polymorphic Emerging Memory Technology based cache



Cloud applications are diverse: One partition size does not fit all!

#### PolyEMT: Polymorphic Emerging Memory Technology based cache



persistence dimensions!

### Key idea of PolyEMT cache

- Address the most significant bottleneck first using the emerging memory based cache
- Then gradually morph its characteristics to further improve performance

What is the most significant bottleneck for a generic application with mixes of reads and writes ?

#### Persistent writes (file writes, flushes, msyncs) incurs high latency in existing systems



# EMT entirely in Write-Cache is inefficient usage for read accesses as they are byte addressible



#### Tuning write-cache capacity in the presence of competing read and write flows



Tuning write-cache capacity in the presence of competing read and write flows





Incrementally repurpose Write-Cache blocks as memory pages to balance read/write performance.

## When the physical capacity is insufficient, exploit representational polymorphism



No latency benefits by separating memory and storage functions!

### When the physical capacity is insufficient, exploit representational polymorphism



No latency benefits by separating memory and storage functions!

Shared compression layer reduces compute requirements too!

#### PolyEMT optimization steps at a glance



### PolyEMT prototype

#### • PolyEMT library and runtime

- mmap(): native load/store access
- msync(): persist dirty data to NVM write cache persist data to SSD in background
- More implementation details in the paper

### **Evaluation Setup**

- Azure VM
  - DRAM (26GB)
  - Battery Backed DRAM (6GB)
  - SSD
  - CPU based compression
- Redis Key-Value store with persistence capability
- Data set size:
  - 38GB much higher than DRAM+BB-DRAM capacity
- YCSB benchmarks

#### Transparent integration policies under evaluation

- Dram-Extension
- Write-Cache
- Write-Cache + Functional polymorphism
- Write-Cache + Functional polymorphism + Representational polymorphism

### Performance benefits of PolyEMT on throughput



Addressing the most significant bottleneck improves performance by 2.5X

Exploiting polymorphisms further improves performance by 70% and 90%

### Performance benefits of PolyEMT on tail latency



EMT based write cache reduces write and read tail latency by 30% and 40%

Functional polymorphism reduces write and read tail latency by 60% and 80%

Combining morphing reduces write and read tail latency by 85% and 78%

## PolyEMT achieves performance by apportioning polymorphic resource across multiple dimensions



PolyEMT benefits diverse cloud applications via careful apportioning of polymorphic cache across three dimensions!

#### Diverse storage applications + Polymorphic EMT cache = High performance

To conclude,

- Explore emerging memory technologies to augment SSD performance
  - For diverse cloud applications
  - In a cost efficient and transparent way
- Our contributions:
  - Functional and representational polymorphism knobs of emerging memories
  - EMT design as a cache for SSD
  - Transparent mechanism to integrate this cache
  - Policy to morph this cache across to improve performance
- Software defined memory and storage resource provisioning to extract better performance per cost